

## REPORT ON RECLAMATION PLAN SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENT COOLIDGE DIABLO MINE SITE COOLIDGE, ARIZONA

by Haley & Aldrich, Inc. Phoenix, Arizona

for CalPortland Company Phoenix, Arizona







## List of Figures List of Appendices

Introduction 1.

#### 2. **Reclamation Plan Narrative**

			UNA U.	
2	2.1	OWNER	RSHIP/OPERATOR INFORMATION Expires 6 130 12025	2
		2.1.1	Owner Name and Address	2
		2.1.2	Contact Person Name and Address	2
		2.1.3	Responsible Party	2
2	2.2	CERTIFI	CATE OF DISCLOSURE	3
2	2.3	DESCRI	PTION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS	3
		2.3.1	Surrounding Area Land Use	4
		2.3.2	Current Permits, Licenses, and Approvals	4
		2.3.3	Description of Future Disturbance	4
2	2.4	POST-A	GGREGATE MINING FINAL TOPOGRAPHY	5
		2.4.1	Description of Final Topography	5
		2.4.2	Erosion Control Plan	5
2	2.5	POST-A	GGREGATE MINING PLAN FOR STRUCTURES, EQUIPMENT, AND PUBLIC SAFETY	5
		2.5.1	Structures to be Removed	5
		2.5.2	Access Restriction/Public Safety	6
2	2.6	POST-A	GGREGATE MINING ROAD RECLAMATION	6
2	2.7	SOIL CO	INSERVATION AND REVEGETATION	6
		2.7.1	Topsoil Conservation Plan	6
		2.7.2	Revegetation Plan	6
2	2.8	CONCE	PTUAL SCHEDULE FOR DISTURBANCE AND RECLAMATION	7
2	2.9	PROBA	BLE FUTURE CONDITIONS	7
2	2.10	ESTIMA	TED RECLAMATION COSTS	8
		2.10.1	Pit Area Regrading and Scarifying	8
		2.10.2	Road Reclamation Cost	8
		2.10.3	Structure Demolition Cost	8
		2.10.4	Care and Maintenance Cost	9
		2.10.5	Construction Cost	9
		2.10.6	Plant Removal Cost	9
		2.10.7	Administrative Cost	9
		2.10.8	Total Reclamation Cost	10
F	ees		1	11
F	inan	cial As	surance	12

#### 4. **Financial Assurance**

3.



Page

ii

ii

1

2

50990 RICHARD A BROWN

# List of Figures

Figure No.	Title
1	Site Location Map
2	Existing Site Conditions
3	Post Aggregate Excavation Contours
4	Post Mining Land Use

# List of Appendices

Appendix	Title
A	Reclamation Cost Estimate



## 1. Introduction

This Aggregate Mined Land Reclamation Plan Amendment (Plan) details the significant amendment to closure and reclamation of the CalPortland Company (CalPortland) Diablo Plant (Site), located in Coolidge, Arizona. Reclamation planning has been conducted in accordance with the Arizona Aggregate Mined Lands Reclamation Act (Arizona Revised Statutes [A.R.S.] §27-1201) as authorized by A.R.S. §27-1204. This Plan has been developed pursuant to the format and content prescribed in the Arizona Aggregate Mined Lands Reclamation Rules (Arizona Administrative Code [A.A.C.] R11-3-101, et seq.). Figure 1 presents the Site location map of the Diablo Plant operations.

The Project Boundary Area in the 2007 Arizona State Mine Inspector (ASMI) approved Plan<sup>1</sup> for the Site consisted of approximately 296 acres across two parcels owned by Skousen Sand & Gravel, LLC which is now operated by CalPortland. The property is located on 15540 North Kenworthy Road, Coolidge, Arizona and is bounded to the west by North Skousen Road, to the south by East Mayfield Road, and to the east by North Kenworthy Road. This substantial change to the approved Plan has been prepared to update the original 2007 ASMI-approved Plan for the following reasons:

- The 2007 approved plan listed the Operator as Diablo Sand & Gravel, LLC. This amendment will formally change the operator to CalPortland Company who was previously identified as the operator in the 2015 approved Reclamation Plan Transfer memorandum.
- The 2007 approved plan requires the removal of one groundwater well, septic tanks, and the hardline power infrastructure following mine closure. This amendment will remove those requirements and leave these items for the post-mining land use (PMLU) at the request of the landowner. These features will enhance the potential resale of the property at the end of mine life and reclamation.
- The 2007 approved plan called for hydroseeding as the method for revegetation. This amendment will change the method to allow for natural revegetation with a post-reclamation monitoring for a period of three years.
- Recalculation of the reclamation cost estimate is based on the changes detailed above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reclamation and Closure Plan Coolidge Mine Site, 2006. – Mining & Environmental Consultants, Inc.



## 2. Reclamation Plan Narrative

#### 2.1 OWNERSHIP/OPERATOR INFORMATION

According to the records of the Pinal County Tax Assessor, Pinal County parcels 209-05-002A and 209-05-0010 are owned by the Skousen Family Gravel Operations, LLC., an Arizona limited liability company.

#### 2.1.1 Owner Name and Address

#### Landowner:

Skousen Sand & Gravel, LLC 15303 North Skousen Road Coolidge, Arizona 85228

#### **Operator:**

CalPortland Company 10655 West Park Run Drive; Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

#### 2.1.2 Contact Person Name and Address

Operator's contact person (for regulatory contact):

Tori Tang, Environmental Manager CalPortland Company 3755 North Business Center Drive, #3 Tucson, Arizona 85705 (520) 572-5314 (phone) ttang@calportland.com

#### 2.1.3 Responsible Party

CalPortland hereby assumes responsibility for the reclamation of surface disturbances that are attributable to the aggregate mining unit consistent with A.R.S. §27-1201 and A.A.C. R11-3-501 pursuant to that chapter. All areas that have been disturbed at the Site will be reclaimed to a safe and stable condition upon cessation of aggregate mining operations.

<

Signature

<u>March 3, 2025</u> Date

Tori Tang Name <u>Environmental Manager</u> Title



#### 2.2 CERTIFICATE OF DISCLOSURE

The certificate of disclosure required by A.R.S. 27-1205 has been prepared by CalPortland and was submitted to ASMI during the plan transfer in 2015.

#### 2.3 DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS

The mining operation included the removal and processing of earthen materials to produce aggregate as described in A.R.S. § 27-441. The 2007 plan addressed mining and plant operations within the approximate 296-acre property owned by Skousen Sand and Gravel, LLC and leased areas managed by Diablo Sand & Gravel, LLC until 8 May 2015 and, currently by the CalPortland Company. Approximately 70.5 acres of disturbance was completed at the time of the approved plan, with an approved total disturbed area of approximately 286.1 acres. Since the plan was originally approved, CalPortland has mined or begun the process of mining in accordance with the 2007 approved plan. There are no known fish or wildlife habitats within the Site boundary that would potentially be disturbed by Site operations or the expansions related to this amendment.

The Site is north of Arizona State Route 87 and can be accessed via North Kenworthy Road off of State Route 87 along the eastern portion of property. It is still anticipated that all material processing will be conducted in the established plant processing area on the east side of the property. No other infrastructure is currently planned for the expanded mining area.

Figure 2 presents the existing Site conditions. The ground surface is generally flat, sloping northeast towards the Gila River, with elevations ranging from 1,391 to 1,380 feet above mean sea level. All slopes within the pit areas are currently graded to 1.5 Horizontal to 1 Vertical (1.5H:1V) or shallower, as approved in the original 2007 plan.

Equipment, structures, and facilities on the Site are utilized for aggregate crushing and screening, concrete batch mixing, and equipment maintenance. Details of the processing area are as follows:

- The processing area is located in the central portion of Pinal County assessor parcel 209-05-0010 and will remain within the existing footprint on the original parcels from the approved plan. A subcontractor to CalPortland operates a block production facility located on the northeast side of Pinal County assessor parcel 209-05-002A.
- Plant processing equipment and structures for aggregate mining processing and concrete batch mixing include:
  - One ready-mix concrete plant;
  - One crushing and screening plant;
  - One wash plant;
  - Multiple material processing conveyors and radial stackers;
  - Hot-mix asphalt plant;
  - One portable scale house;
  - One portable office;
  - One truck scale;
  - One maintenance shop building and truck wash area;



- One material testing lab building;
- Two 10,000-gallon aboveground fuel tanks; and
- A pre-cast concrete block manufacturing facility (subcontractor leased area and equipment) which will be removed from the mining unit during reclamation activities.
- Utilities on the Diablo Site include:
  - Power provided by overhead power lines and transformers;
  - City water connection;
  - One water well;
  - Two septic systems; and
  - Contracted solid waste removal.

## 2.3.1 Surrounding Area Land Use

The mining operations are conducted primarily within the floodplain and floodplain fringe of the Gila River. The planned post-aggregate commercial mining use is consistent with surrounding land uses. Surrounding land uses generally consist of the following:

- Natural desert and the Gila River to the north and east; and
- Residential, farming, mining, and open land use areas to the west and south.

## 2.3.2 Current Permits, Licenses, and Approvals

In addition to the approved Reclamation Plan (as amended), operations will comply with applicable air, stormwater, flood control, and hazardous/regulated materials management regulations. The property currently has the following permits/plans:

- Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) Industrial Stormwater Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP);
- Pinal County Air Quality Permit; and
- Arizona Department of Water Resources Groundwater Withdrawal Permit No. 59-591440.0005.

Although these permits/plans indirectly regulate operations at the Site, they do not have the authority to control or limit the depth or extent of mining.

## 2.3.3 Description of Future Disturbance

All future mining and reclamation activities on the Site are planned to occur within the property boundaries. Figure 3 presents the post-aggerate excavation contours and Figure 4 presents the post-mining land use.

- The mining area will be approximately 286 acres of total disturbance, with no change from the original approved Plan;
- The plant processing area will be reduced in sized to accommodate the expanded mining area presented in Figure 3. The plant processing area will be approximately 12 acres of total disturbance;
- Maximum final depth of mining will be approximately 125 feet below ground surface;



- Pit walls mined to a slope of 1.5H:1V, unchanged from the original plan;
- Excavation will occur with a 50-foot setback from the property lines and disturbance boundaries;
- Unpaved haul roads that lead to the primary crushing, screening, and stockpile areas; and
- Continued operation of the block plant although the plant may be relocated or removed to accommodate the progression of mining.

#### 2.4 POST-AGGREGATE MINING FINAL TOPOGRAPHY

The following sections identify the items and the methods in which each item will be reclaimed in accordance with this amendment to the Plan.

## 2.4.1 Description of Final Topography

The final topography will be backfilled to the pre-mining land surface elevation with inert materials. Should the backfill operation be terminated prior to the entire pit being backfilled with inert material, the remaining pit slopes will be graded to 2H:1V and the remaining pit floor will be covered with up to 6 inches of clean soil, and ripped and scarified to allow for the surface to be naturally revegetated.

#### 2.4.2 Erosion Control Plan

The final surface will be contoured to blend with the surrounding area and provide adequate drainage. The entire mine unit will be recontoured and allowed to naturally revegetate after mining and inert landfilling operations have ceased. The final surface will slope gently toward the Gila River to provide for drainage. The planned low slope (approximately 0.2 percent) will result in a very low runoff velocity and very low erosion. The nearly flat reclaimed surface will be inherently stable.

Stormwater drainage controls have been established as part of the MSGP. Specific erosion controls include:

- Stormwater will be routed into the pit while mining occurs;
- Recycled concrete riprap, along with cobbles and/or boulders, will be placed in drainage channels to stabilize soil and reduce the potential for erosion, if necessary; and
- Riprap will be used to line drainage points, as required, to minimize erosion.

## 2.5 POST-AGGREGATE MINING PLAN FOR STRUCTURES, EQUIPMENT, AND PUBLIC SAFETY

#### 2.5.1 Structures to be Removed

All equipment used for mining, material processing, concrete batching, and concrete block operations will be removed from the mining unit including mobile equipment (feeders, crushers, conveyor belts, screens, stackers, etc.), and structures (offices, shops, water recycle/settling ponds, fuel and oil tanks, scales, etc.) will be removed from the Diablo CalPortland property once mining operations have ceased. Concrete slabs and footings from the removed structures will be used as inert backfill materials.



Ponds will be drained, dried, capped with soil/overburden, recontoured, and naturally revegetated. The existing water well, septic tanks, power lines, and associated infrastructure located within the plant processing area, will remain at the request of the landowner. Perimeter roads, fences and gates will remain in place for access to the Site, public safety, and to exclude grazing animals (Figure 4).

Although no costs associated with remediation are included in this Plan, any area that may have been contaminated during the mining operation, such as areas around the shop, will be remediated and reclaimed in accordance with ADEQ rules and regulations. Contaminated soils will be removed from the mining unit and transported to an approved landfill in accordance with ADEQ guidelines. The associated costs of structure removal are included in an updated reclamation cost estimate (Appendix A).

## 2.5.2 Access Restriction/Public Safety

Should the inert landfill operation cease prior to achieving final surface grade, final mining slopes that remain will be graded to 2H:1V or flatter to provide an acceptable factor of safety against deep-seated failure. No equipment or structures will remain on the mining unit; berms or fences will be installed around any remaining excavations. If hazards to public safety cannot be eliminated during reclamation, weather resistant signs will be posted. Scrap metal, wood, trash, and other non-inert debris that pose a threat to public safety or create a public nuisance will be removed.

The post-aggerate mining land use is not designated for grazing, fishing, or wildlife habitat, forestry, or recreation.

## 2.6 POST-AGGREGATE MINING ROAD RECLAMATION

The Site contains approximately 20,000 linear feet of unpaved roads in the active mining area and plant area. An additional 8,000 linear feet of unpaved mining roads will be added as mining activities occur within the updated 286-acre mining area. Roads used for long-term access, security, and monitoring in the area of the mining and plant areas will be scarified, recontoured, and allowed to naturally revegetate.

## 2.7 SOIL CONSERVATION AND REVEGETATION

## 2.7.1 Topsoil Conservation Plan

Mining excavation has removed a majority of the topsoil in the active mining area and the topsoil is stored on Site in stockpiles. The sides of the topsoil stockpiles will be revegetated with native grasses to minimize fugitive dust. Stockpiled soil will be blended with overburden and spread over the surface of the inert landfill material for revegetation activities.

## 2.7.2 Revegetation Plan

Natural revegetation is planned for the mining and plant processing areas. Inert material will be used to backfill the mining area and a minimum of 1 foot of blended soil and overburden will be placed over the top of the inert material to establish a root zone. Should the landfill be closed before the entire mining pit is backfilled with inert material, the remaining pit slopes will be graded to 2H:1V, the pit floor leveled, covered with 1-foot of clean soil, and the graded surfaces ripped and scarified to allow for natural revegetation.



Care and maintenance of the reclamation effort will involve up to three annual inspections of the Site to monitor inert backfill compaction, slope movement, erosion, and natural revegetation growth. Three annual inspection reports will be published within 60 days of the anniversary date of Site closure.

## 2.8 CONCEPTUAL SCHEDULE FOR DISTURBANCE AND RECLAMATION

The conceptual schedule includes:

- Disturbance operations are ongoing.
- Mining operations are anticipated to continue through approximately 2050.
- Reclamation activities will be concurrent with mining activities as conditions allow.
- If concurrent reclamation is not feasible, areas will be reclaimed after mining operations cease. Post-aggregate mining reclamation activities will begin within 12 months of the cessation of mining activities and are anticipated to be completed when the inert backfill operations reach surface grade.
- Reclamation of the pit area will continue after mining operations are complete. Once the inert landfilling is complete, any remaining slopes will be recontoured to 2H:1V and allowed to naturally revegetate.
- Natural revegetation will be monitored after reclamation for three years.

## 2.9 PROBABLE FUTURE CONDITIONS

The profitable operation of a mine is based on a variety of factors including the amount and quality of geologic resources available for extraction, site-specific hydrogeologic conditions, permitting constraints, economic factors affecting the cost of extraction and processing, and market conditions which influence the supply and demand for these materials or finished products containing these materials. Changes to any of these factors can have significant impacts to mine profitability and can thus require operators to modify mining, processing, or operational methods or expand or temporarily cease operations.

Further, the means and methods described in this Plan to operate a mining facility and implement reclamation are based on the application of currently available technologies and practices. These technologies and practices are constantly evolving, and the operations described in this Plan may be modified if the currently specified means and methods become outdated, obsolete, cost ineffective, or impracticable.

Consequently, factors affecting profitable operation or means and methods are likely to change due to unanticipated or unknown future conditions. Therefore, the operator of the facility described in this Plan reserves the right to adapt their operations or plans to these changing, unanticipated, or unknown future conditions to the extent that these operational changes do not cause substantial non-compliance with existing permits or authorizations.



#### 2.10 ESTIMATED RECLAMATION COSTS

The unit costs developed for this Plan amendment are based primarily on the cost estimating database RS Means Facilities Construction Cost Data (2023) along with estimated productivity for material movement based primarily on the Caterpillar Handbook (Edition 31). Administrative costs were based on Arizona Rock Products Association and Haley & Aldrich recommendations.

The estimated costs developed for this Reclamation Plan Significant Amendment include:

- Pit wall reclamation;
- Road reclamation;
- Structure demolition and removal;
- Care and maintenance;
- General construction;
- Plant removal; and
- Administrative costs.

A summary of the estimated reclamation costs is listed in Table I at the end of this section. The sources and calculation of the estimated reclamation costs are provided in Appendix A.

#### 2.10.1 Pit Area Regrading and Scarifying

Should the inert landfilling operation cease prior to completion of backfilling, all remaining slopes will be graded to a final reclamation slope of 2H:1V, the pit floor leveled and graded and covered with 1-foot of clean soils, and the surfaces allowed to naturally revegetate.

While it is expected that the pit will be fully backfilled with inert material, costs have been added to this amended Plan for grading approximately 25 feet of a 2H:1V slope of the pit walls and ripping and scarifying the pit floor. This cost is estimated to be \$6,000.

#### 2.10.2 Road Reclamation Cost

There are an estimated 28,000 linear feet of compacted temporary unpaved roads that will be reclaimed by scarifying and ripping and allowed to naturally revegetate.

The cost of scarifying and regrading the roads is estimated to be \$8,000.

#### 2.10.3 Structure Demolition Cost

Reclamation activities detailed in this category include:

- Removal of the portable office;
- Removal of the aboveground fuel tanks and concrete slabs;
- Removal of the maintenance shop, truck wash area, and concrete slabs;
- Removal of the lab building, concrete block plant, and concrete slabs; and
- Removal of scale and scale house structure.



The existing water well, septic tanks, and power line infrastructure will remain in place for the PMLU at the landowner's request. The total estimated cost for removal of the above-listed structures and equipment in this category is \$504,000.

#### 2.10.4 Care and Maintenance Cost

Care and maintenance for the reclamation effort at this operation consist of:

- Three annual inspections of the Site;
- Preparation of the required annual report describing Site conditions; and
- Trash removal.

Three annual inspections are anticipated to be needed before the Site is released. The cost of care and maintenance of the Site is estimated to be \$23,400.

#### 2.10.5 Construction Cost

Construction efforts for reclamation include:

- Installing Site access chain link fencing and restriction signs around the perimeter of the mining and plant processing areas; and
- Installation of riprap lining in drainages, if needed.

The cost of construction is estimated to be \$136,000.

#### 2.10.6 Plant Removal Cost

The estimated reclamation costs detailed in this section include the dismantling, loading onto transport, and removal of the following equipment:

- Crushing and screening equipment;
- One concrete batch mixing plant;
- Multiple conveyors and stackers; and
- One wash plant.

The cost of plant removal is estimated at \$249,000.

#### 2.10.7 Administrative Cost

The estimated administrative costs are required to support a third-party cost estimate in accordance with A.R.S. 27-1271.11 of the Aggregate Mined Land Reclamation Statute. These administrative costs include:

- Contingency;
- Mobilization/demobilization;
- Indirect costs;



- Contractor profit; and
- Contract administrative costs.

The total estimated administrative cost is \$335,000.

#### 2.10.8 Total Reclamation Cost

The total estimated reclamation cost for this Plan is \$1,261,400.

Section	Reclamation Item	Cost
2.10.1	Pit Area Regrading and Scarifying	\$6,000
2.10.2	Roads Reclamation Cost	\$8,000
2.10.3	Structure Demolition Cost	\$504,000
2.10.4	Care and Maintenance Cost	\$23,400
2.10.5	Construction Cost	\$136,000
2.10.6	Plant Removal Cost	\$249,000
2.10.7	Administrative Cost	\$335,000
	Total Reclamation Cost	\$1,261,400

#### **Table I. Estimated Reclamation Cost Summary**



## 3. Fees

The fee to amend the Reclamation Plan is \$1,565 for an existing aggregate mining unit. A check covering this fee was submitted with this Plan.



## 4. Financial Assurance

Corporate self-insurance will be the Financial Assurance Mechanism used to cover the estimated reclamation costs. The corporate information required to satisfy the financial test requirements of A.A.C. R11-3-809.C will be submitted within 60 days under separate correspondence.



**FIGURES** 





CALPORTLAND COMPANY CALPORTLAND DIABLO RECLAMATION PLAN AMENDMENT COOLIDGE, ARIZONA

## SITE LOCATION MAP

MARCH 2025

FIGURE 1



#### LEGEND



DISTURBANCE LIMITS

PROPERTY BOUNDARY

#### NOTES

- 1. ALL LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
- 2. ASSESSOR PARCEL DATA SOURCE: PINAL COUNTY
- 3. AERIAL IMAGERY SOURCE: NEARMAP, 24 MAY 2023



460 SCALE IN FEET 920

CALPORTLAND COMPANY CALPORTLAND DIABLO RECLAMATION PLAN AMENDMENT COOLIDGE, ARIZONA

## **EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS**

MARCH 2025

FIGURE 2



SLAMOTHE Printed: 2/26/2025 2:28 PM Sheet: FIGURI ALDRICH(SHARE/CF/PROJECTS)(0205651/ F





APPENDIX A Reclamation Cost Estimate

Date Checked	Checked By Jo	ob Number	Ву		Date	Cal	c. No.	Sheet No.
2/28/2025	5 EJM	205651	RAB		2/28/2025			1 of 12
	Project					S	ubject	
	ColPortland Diable Operation				Estimated	<u></u>	4 Cummon	(1 of 2)
	CalPortiand - Diablo Operation				Estimateu	COS	Summary	y (1012)
								1
<b>Bealemation Item</b>	Description and the	14-	Eat	Cast	Number of		Cont	Deferences/Notes
Reciaination item	Description and Un	its	ESI	I. COSI	Units		COSI	Section 2 10 1 of Poport
Pit walls								Section 2.10.1 of Report
	Curfage Desmedian and Coorifia		¢	0.01	015 000	¢	c 000	
	Surface Regrading and Scarifyin	ig (square loot)	φ Φ	0.01	915,000	¢	0,000	
(No Mulch or Fertilizer)	Revegetation Cost - Broadd	ast (Acre)	φ ¢	3//		ф ¢	-	
	Containerized Trees (F	eeu (Acre) Fach)	φ \$	1,175		φ 2	-	
	Containenzed Trees (Lach) Mining Area Pogrado						6 000	1
		ining / a ca ricogrado	una e	Joannymig	oub rotai	Ψ	0,000	
Stockpiles, Overbui	rden, or Fines Area							
	Surface Regrading and Scarifyin	g (square foot)	\$	0.01		\$	-	
	Revegetation Cost - Broadc	ast (Acre)	\$	377		\$	-	
	Revegetation Cost - Hydros	eed (Acre)	\$	1,175		\$	-	
	Containerized Trees (E	ach)	\$	10	<u> </u>	\$	-	
	F	Plant Area Regrade	and S	Scarifying	Sub-Total =	\$	-	
Roads								Section 2 10 2 of Report
(Side Slope < 30%)	Rip/Scarify (Linear F	-t.)	\$	0.28	28.000	\$	8,000	
(Side Slope >30%)	Re-Grading and Topsoiling (	(Linear Ft.)	\$	1.69	,	\$	-	
(No Mulch or Fertilizer)	Revegetation Cost - Broadc	ast (Acre)	\$	377		\$	-	
	Revegetation Cost - Hydrose	eed (Acre)	\$	1,175		\$	-	
					Roads =	\$	8,000	
Structures								Section 2.10.3 of Report
(Broak up and bury Slab)	Demolition and Removal - Metal F	Building (Sa Et.)	¢	3 81	92 500	¢	352 000	
(Break-up and bury Slab)	)emolition and Removal - Secondary (	Containment (Sa Et	Ψ ¢	8.52	32,000	Ψ ¢		
(Break-up and bury Slab)	Demolition and Removal - Concrete	Building (Sq. Ft.)	\$	15.86		\$	_	
(break up and bary clab)	Powerline Removal - Single Pole U	Itility (Linear Mile)	\$	12 560		\$	-	
	Transformer Removal (	Each)	\$	6 280		\$	-	
	Demolition - Chain-Link Fencin	a (Linear Ft.)	\$	4.36		\$	-	
	Demolition - Barb Wire Fencin	g (Linear Ft.)	\$	1.94		\$	-	
	Septic Tank Removal (	Each)	\$	1,000		\$	-	
	Well Removal (Ft. De	pth)	\$	33.55		\$	-	
	Removal - 15" Culvert (Lir	near Ft.)	\$	10.29		\$	-	
	Removal - 36" Culvert (Lir	near Ft.)	\$	17.15		\$	-	
(Break-up and bury Slab)	Demolition - Concrete Roads and	d Pads (Sq. Ft.)	\$	8.52	17,800	\$	152,000	
				S	Structures =	\$	504,000	
0								
Care and Maintenar	100							Section 2.10.4 of Report
	Site Monitoring and Reportin	ig (Annual)	\$	7,500	3	\$	23 000	
	Trash Removal (To	n)	\$	75	5	\$	400	
	\		Care	and Mai	ntenance =	\$	23,400	]
		•						
Construction								Section 2.10.5 of Report
			¢	0.04	44.000	¢	404.000	
	Construction - Chain-Link Fenci	ng (Linear Ft.)	\$ ¢	9.21	14,600	\$	134,000	
	Install Rip Rap Erosion Linin	iy (oy. ru) n Sian	Ф Ф	110.00 110.00	20	¢	2 000	
			Ψ	00.40 Co	nstruction =	Ψ \$	136.000	1
		-				Ψ	100,000	1
	Est. Reclamation O	perating and Materi	ial (O8	&M) Cost	Sub-Total =	\$	677,400	

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву	Date	Calo	c. No.	Sheet No.		
2/28/2025	5 EJM	205651	RAB	2/28/2025	5 Subject		2 of 12		
	Project			Subject					
CalPor	tland - Diablo Oper	ation		Estimated C	: of 2)				
Reclamation Item	Description	n and Unite	Est Cost	Number of		Cost	References/Notes		
Reclamation item		0031	Nelefences/Notes						
Est. Recla	mation Operating a	nd Material (O&M)	Cost Sub-Tota	l (from page 1) =	\$	677,400			
Material Haulage for	Backfill								
Truck and Los	adar 2000Et One M		¢ 1.01		¢				
Dozer and Scr	aper - 1000Ft. One M	Nay (Cu. Yd) Nay (Cu Yd)	\$ 0.68		ъ \$	-			
	•		Ма	terial Haulage =	\$	-			
Plant Removal							Section 2.10.6 of Report		
(Processing Equip)	Remova	I - Plants	\$ 249.000.00	1.0	\$	249.000			
(Beltline)	Removal -	Conveyor	• • • • • • • • •	-	\$	-			
				Plant Removal =	\$	249,000			
	Est Poclamativ	n Operating and	latorial (O&M) (	Cost Sub-Total -	¢	926 400			
	ESI. Reclamatio	on Operating and h			φ	920,400			
Cost Adjustment							Section 2.10.7 of Report		
							https://www.usinflationca		
Template based on 2024	Consumer Price	e Index Increase			\$	-	rent-inflation-rates/		
costs					•				
			C	ost Adjustment =	\$	-			
	Est. Recla	mation Operating a	and Material (O	M) Cost Total =	\$	926,400			
Administrative Cost	S						Section 2.10.8 of Report		
% of O&M Cost	Contir	ndency	10%		\$	93 000	ARPA Recommendations		
% of O&M Cost	General Mobilizati	on/De-Mobilization	4%		\$	37,000	ARPA Recommendations		
% of O&M Cost	Indired	et costs	2%		\$	19,000	ARPA Recommendations		
% of O&M Cost	Contrac	tor Profit	10%		\$ ¢	93,000	ARPA Recommendations		
% of U&IM Cost	Contract Ac	Immistration	Admini	strative Costs =	ֆ \$	335.000	ARPA Recommendations		
					Ŧ	,			
		Total Estimated	d Financial Assu	urance Amount =	\$	1,261,400			
							•		

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву	Date		Calc. No.	Sheet No.
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB	2/	28/2025		3 of 12
	Project					Subject	
CalPo	ortland - Diablo Ope	eration			Unit	Cost Basis	
	•						
	Deferences/Notes						
The unit cost basis	References/Notes						
RS Me							
Caterp							
Equipment rental ra	ates and operator la	hor rates are based	on the RS-MEANS	CREWS	data a	s referenced	
for each piece of e	puipment. The unit	rates can be adjuste	d by the city cost in	dex for s	specific l	ocations.	
however, no adjust	ment was made sin	ce the Phoenix Area	rates are close to t	he natio	nal avera	age.	
CREWS DATA							
_	Earthmoving Equ	ipment, cost \$/hr					RS Means : Facilities
	• •						Construction Cost Data
	List	Labor (1)*	Equipment (2)		Total		
	980G Loader	\$58	\$135		\$193	\$/hr	crew B-10U
	775D Haul Truck	\$55	\$369		\$424	\$/hr	crew B-34J
	Water Truck	\$55	\$60		\$115	\$/hr	crew B-59
	D10 Dozer	\$58	\$234		\$292	\$/hr	crew B-10M
	325 Excavator	\$57	\$300		\$357	\$/hr	crew B12-D
	16H Motor Grader	\$55	\$85		\$140	\$/hr	crew B-11L
	631E Scraper	\$59	\$304		\$363	\$/hr	crew B-33D
	80 ton Crane	\$61	\$281		\$342	\$/hr	crew A-3L
	120 ton Crane	\$61	\$305		\$366	\$/hr	crew A-3M
LABOR DATA	Machanical Jahan	<b>¢</b> C4	¢		<b>C</b> (1	Ф /h	A . 4.A
	Mechanical labor	\$61	\$U \$0		\$01 ¢47	\$/nr ¢/br	crew A-1A
	Laborer	\$47	ቅር		\$47	\$/nr	ciew A-1
MISC COST DATA							
	Demolition/Remova	al - Metal Building ar	d Foundation	\$	3.81	\$/Sq. Ft.	RACER (ver. 8.1.2)
	Demolition//Remov	al - Block Building a	nd Foundation	\$	7.61	\$/Sq. Ft.	RACER (ver. 8.1.2)
	Demolition/Remova	al - Concrete Pads/re	oads 12"	\$	8.52	\$/Sq. Ft.	RACER (ver. 8.1.2)
	Demolition/Remova	al - Chain-Link Fenci	ng	\$	4.36	\$/Sq. Ft.	RACER (ver. 8.1.2)
	Removal of Single-	Pole Powerline		\$	12,560	\$/Mile	Haley & Aldrich Data
	Removal of Electric	cal Transformers		\$	6,280	Each	Haley & Aldrich Data
	o , , , , , , o			•		<b>A</b> 19	
	Construction of Ch	ain-Link Fence	Cofety Cinne	<b>ቅ</b>	11.57	\$/II ©/airm	RACER (ver. 8.1.2)
	Installation of Acce	ss Restriction/Public	Salety Signs	¢	83.40	\$∕sign ft donth	RACER (Ver. 8.1.2)
				¢ Q	33.00		Alliad Masta Quata
	Hash Kemoval			φ	75	1011	Alleu Waste Quote
	Transport and Unic	ading Heavy		\$	1 570	\$/load	Haley & Aldrich Data
	Transport and Unic	ading Light		\$	1 068	\$/load	Haley & Aldrich Data
	Broadcast Seeding			÷	.,	<i>Q</i> , To a u	naloj a nalon Data
	\$/acre	Haley & Aldrich Data					
	Haley & Aldrich Data						
	\$/acre	Haley & Aldrich Data					
	\$/tank	Haley & Aldrich Data					
(1) Labor includes	operating and maint	enance labor					
(2) Equipment cost	s include operating,	maintenance, renta	costs				
* Labor and equipm	nent costs are round	led to the nearest do	llar				
I							

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву		Date		Calc. No.	Sheet No.			
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB			2/28/2025		4 of 12			
	Project		Subject								
CalPo	ortland - Diablo Ope	eration	Dozing Cost								
	-										
r											
	D10 Re-gra	ding from 1.5H:1V	slope to	3H:1V slou	)e			References/Notes			
	2 . e e g. a			•••••							
	D10 Dozing	Productivity									
	Optimum Proc	luction (CY/Hr)	-	950				(1) pg. 1-43 (200 Foot Push)			
Push Factors	Operator	experience		0.875				(1) pg. 1-45			
	Type of	material		0.8				(1) pg. 1-45			
	Grade	of Push		1.6				(1) pg. 1-45			
Mark Fastar	Weight C			0.71				(1) pg. 1-41 Material Weight = 1.62 T/CY			
WORK Factor	50 minu	ites/nour		0.03				(1) pg. 1-45			
	Average Prod	uction (CY/Hr)		629							
	Average Daily	Production (CY)		5,036				(8-hour work day)			
	0,	~ /									
	D10 Do	zer Cost									
	Dozer Rent	al (Monthly)	\$	23,664	<b>^</b>	4 070		(2) Line # 015433204360			
	Ownership	Cost (Dally)			\$	1,076		(22 working days/month)			
	Dozer Operatir	a Cost (Hourly)	¢	116				(2) Lips # 015433204360			
	Operating	Cost(Daily)	Ψ	110	\$	928		(2) Line # 013433204300 (8-bour work day)			
	oporating	ooot(Dully)			Ψ	020		(e nour tront aug)			
	Dozer Labor	Cost (Hourly)	\$	58				(2) crew B-10M			
	Labor Co	ost (Daily)			\$	464		(8-hour work day)			
	Dozer Total	Cost (Daily)			\$	2,468					
	Cost	nor CV			¢	0.40					
	COST				Ψ	0.49					
(1) Caterpillar Perfo	ormance Handbook,	Edition 31									
(2) RS Means 2023	3										

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву		Date	)	Calc. No.	Sheet No.			
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB			2/28/2025		5 of 12			
	Project		Subject								
CalPo	ortland - Diablo O	peration		Scarifying Cost							
								Poforonoos/Notos			
								References/Notes			
	Scarifying	- Motor Grader									
	16H Grad	er Productivity									
	Ripper	r beam (Ft.)	-	9.75				(1) pg. 3-13, 17.8 inch max depth			
	Max first gear	with std tires (mph)		2.4				(1) pg. 3-12			
	Fee	t per mile		5,280							
	Half Sp	eed in Ft./Hr.		6,336				assumes 2 passes are			
	Double	-pass factor		0.5				adequate for road scarifying			
	Effective s	speed in Ft./Hr.		3,168							
	0			00.000							
	Optimum area	a/nour (Sq. Ft./Hr.)		30,888				(1)			
Work Factor		nute nour		0.83				(1) pg. 3-15			
		a Daily (Sa Et )		205.007				(8-bour work day)			
	Average are			200,000				(o-nour work day)			
	Grader C	ost (40,000 lb)									
	Grader R	ental (Monthly)	\$	11,832				(2) Line # 015433201920			
	Ownersh	ip Cost (Daily)			\$	538		(22 working days/month)			
	Grader Opera	ating Cost (Hourly)	\$	57				(2) Line # 015433201920			
	Operatir	ig Cost(Daily)			\$	456		(8-hour work day)			
	Creder Leh	an Caat (Llaunku)	¢					(0)			
	Grader Lac	Oor Cost (Houriy)	\$	55	¢	440		(2) crew B-11L (8-hour work day)			
	Labor	Cost (Daily)			Ψ	440		(o nour nonr aug)			
	Grader To	tal Cost (Dailv)			\$	1.434					
		- ( )/				, -					
	Cost	per Sq. Ft.			\$	0.0070					
	Cost per Linear F	t. of Road			\$	0.28		(40-foot-wide road)			
(1) Caterpillar Perf	ormance Handboo	ok, Edition 31									
(2) KS Means 202	3										

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву	Date	Calc. No.	Sheet No.
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB	2/28/2025		6 of 12
	Project			S	Subject	
CalPo	ortland - Diablo Op	eration		Excav	ator Costing	
						References/Notes
	325 Excavato	or Productivity	_			
Factors	Heaped bucket	capacity (Cu. Yd.)	1.5			(1) pg. 5-117 Bucket size selected for the
	Optimum	Cycles/Hr.	180			(1) pg. 5-1555 325 Excavator = 1.5 CY
	50 min	rill lactor	1.0			(1) pg. 5-120 Material Weight = 1.62T/CV
	50 1111		0.00	-		
	Average Hourly P	roduction (Cu. Yd.)	224			
	Average Daily Pr	oduction (Cu. Yd.)	1,793			(8-hour work day)
		. ,				
	30E E	vator Cost				
	<u>J23 EXCa</u> Excavator Pe	ental (Monthly)	- \$ 6725			(2) 01590 200 0200 pg - 20
	Ownership	Cost (Daily)	φ 0,725	\$ 306		(2) 01390 200 0200 pg. 20 (22 working days/month)
	e moren p			ф 000		(
	Excavator Opera	ating Cost (Hourly)	\$ 29			(2) 01590 200 0200 pg. 20
	Operating	Cost (Daily)		\$ 232		(8-hour work day)
	Excavator Lab	or Cost (Hourly)	\$ 57	<b>•</b> 450		(2) crew B12-D, pg. 1099
	Labor Co	ost (Dally)		\$ 456		(8-nour work day)
	Excavator To	tal Cost (Daily)		\$ 994		
	Execution 10	tal Oost (Daily)		ψ 554		
	Cost pe	er Cu. Yd.		\$ 0.55		
(1) Caterpillar Perfe	ormance Handbook	, Edition 31				
(2) RS Means 2023	3					

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву		Date		Calc. No.	Sheet No.
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB		2/	28/2025		7 of 12
	Project						Subject	
CalPo	ortland - Diablo Op	eration				s	craper Costing	
						•	orapor ocourig	
r								
								References/Notes
	Scraper capa	acity (heaped)		31	Cu. Yd			Yd.
	Rate	d load		37.5	ton			(1) pg. 9-5
								(),10
	Scraper P	roductivity						
	Bank Cu. Yd./Hr, 4	1% RR, 1000 ft haul		540				(1) pg. 9-67
	Material	correction		0.93				Material Weight = 1.62 T/Cu. Yd.
	50 min	ute hour		0.83				
	Actual bank C	u. Yd. per hour		415				
	631 Scr	aper Cost						
	Scraper Rer	ntal (Monthly)	\$	14,900				(2) 01590 200 3700 pg. 21
	Ownership	Cost (Daily)		,	\$	677		(22 working days/month)
		,						/
	Scraper Operat	ing Cost (Hourly)	\$	75				(2) 01590 200 3700 pg. 21
	Operating	Cost(Daily)			\$	600		(8-hour work day)
	<b>.</b>							
	Scraper Labo	r Cost (Hourly)	\$	34	<b>^</b>	070		(2) crew B-33D, pg. 1099
	Labor Co	ost (Dally)			Ф	212		(8-nour work day)
	Scraper Tota	al Cost (Daily)			\$	1 549		
	edupor rea	a coor (Duily)			Ψ	1,010		
	D9 Doz	zer Cost						
	D9 Renta	l (Monthly)	\$	14,300				(2) 01590-200 4370, pg. 21
	Ownership	Cost (Daily)			\$	650		(22 working days/month)
	D0 Operating	Cost (Hourby)	¢	6F				(0) 04500 000 4070
	Operating	Cost (Houry)	φ	05	¢	520		(2) 01590-200 4370, pg. 21 (8-bour work day)
	Operating	COst(Daily)			Ψ	520		(o-nour work day)
	D9 Labor 0	Cost (Hourly)	\$	34				(2) crew B-10M, pg. 1099
	Labor Co	ost (Daily)			\$	272		(8-hour work day)
	D9 Total (	Cost (Daily)			\$	1,442		
	<b>T</b> -4-	Elect						
	Total Fleat	Cost (Daily)			\$	4 54 1		(1 - D9 2 - 631)
	Total Fleet Prod	luctivity (BCY/Hr)		830	Ψ	7,571		(1 - 03, 2 - 031)
	Total Fleet Produ	uctivity (BCY/Day)				6,640		
		5 ( 5)						
	Cost per Cu	ı. Yd. Moved			\$	0.68		
	Cubic yards in 1 linear	foot of 40 ft wide road. 1	foot thick	(Cu. Yd.)		1.48		
	Cost of placi	ng 1 linear foot (40 foot w	ide road)	(- )	\$	1.01		
			·					
(1) Caterpillar Perfe	ormance Handbook	Edition 31						
(2) RS Means 2023	3							
L								

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву		Date		Calc. No.	Sheet No.				
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB		2/2	28/2025		8 of 12				
	Project			Subject								
CalPo	ortland - Diablo Ope	eration				т	ruck haul (1 of 2)					
								References/Notes				
	980G Loader Productivity											
	Basic Cycle Time (minutes)			0.55				(1) pg. 13-46				
Cycle Time Factors	Material typ	be (minutes)		0.02				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Type of Pil	Type of Pile (minutes)		0.02				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Common owners	hip trucks/loaders		0				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Constant	operation		0				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Small targe	et (minutes)		0.025				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Total Cycle T	ime (minutes)		0.615				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Ontimum	Cycles/Hr		0.013								
Work Factor	50 min	utes/Hr		0.83				(1) pg. 13-47				
	Average	Cvcles/Hr.		81				(),				
	5											
	Bucket Full Loa	d (Cubic Yards)		7.5				(1) pg. 13-29				
	Bucket F	ill Factor		0.9				(1) pg. 13-46				
	Average Bucket L	oad (Cubic Yards).		6.75								
	Average Volu	me Loaded/Hr		547								
	980G Loa	ader Cost	- 	40.045				(0) 0 (5 (0000 (500				
	Loader Ren	tal (Monthly)	\$	13,015	¢	500		(2) 015433204560				
	Ownership	Cost (Dally)			Φ	592		(22 working days/month)				
	Loader Operatiu	na Cost (Hourly)	¢	77				(2) 015433204560				
	Operating	Cost(Daily)	φ		\$	616		(2) 013433204300 (8-bour work day)				
	operating	Cost(Daily)			Ψ	010		(o nour work day)				
	Loader Labor	· Cost (Hourly)	\$	58				(2) crew B-10M pg. 1099				
	Labor Co	ost (Daily)			\$	464		(8-hour work day)				
	Loader Tota	l Cost (Daily)			\$	1,672						
	775D Truck	Productivity	-	44.4				(1) 10.0				
		(Cubic Yards)		41.1				(1) pg. 10-3				
		les per Truck		0.09				Use Loader Avg Bucket Load (CT)				
		load (Cubic Yards)		40.5								
	Average Track Tay			40.0								
	Basic Load T	ime (minutes)		4.45				Calculated from Loader rate				
Cycle Time Factors	Maneuver - Loa	d Area (minutes)		0.5				(1) pg. 10-8				
	Maneuver - Dum	ıp Area (minutes)		1.1				(1) pg. 10-8				
	Haul Time	e (minutes)		1.2				(1) pg. 10-8				
	Return Tim	ie (minutes)		1.2				(1) pg. 10-8				
	Optimum Truck Cy	/cle Time (minutes)		8.45				(1) pg. 10-8				
	Optimum Tru	ıck Cycles/Hr.		7.1				(1) pg. 10-8				
Work Factor	50 min	utes/Hr.		0.83				Assumption				
	Average Tru	ck Cycles/Hr.		5.9								
	Average (Cu.Yd	.)/Hr. (for 1 truck)		239								
	Average (Cu.Yd.	)/Hr. (for 2 trucks)		478								
(1) Caternillar Bart	ormance Handback	Edition 31										
(1) Caterpillar Perio	ormance Handbook,	Edition 31										
(2) RS Means 202	5											

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву		Date		Calc. No.	Sheet No.
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB		2	2/28/2025		9 of 12
	Project						Subject	
CalPortland - Diablo Operation						Truc	k Haulage (2 of 2)	
								References/Notes
	Truck Ren	tal (Monthly)	- ¢	12 800				(1) 01590 200 5620 p22
	Ownership	Cost (Daily)	φ	12,000	\$	582		(1) 01390 200 3020 pzz (22 working days/month)
	0p	0000 (2 u))			Ŷ	002		(
	Truck Operati	ng Cost (Hourly)	\$	57				(1) 01590 200 5620 p22
	Operating	Cost(Daily)			\$	456		(8-hour work day)
	Truck Labo	Coot (Hourly)	¢	<b>FF</b>				(2) array D 244
	Labor C	ost (Daily)	φ	55	\$	440		(2) crew B-34A (8-hour work day)
		(,))						
	Truck Tota	l Cost (Daily)			\$	1,478		
	Trucks (OT to	tel Cost (D-ilui)			¢	2.050		
	Loader Tot:	al Cost (Dally)			ծ Տ	∠,956 1,672		
	Fleet Total To	otal Cost (Daily)			\$	4,627		
	Total Fleet Product	vity (Cu. Yd. per Day	)			3,821		(8-hour work day)
	Elect Cost	per Cu. Vd			\$	1 21		
	1 1001 003				Ψ	1.21		
(1) Caterpillar Perf	ormance Handbook,	Edition 31						-
(2) RS Means 2023	3							

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву		Date	Calc. No.	Sheet No.	
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB		2/28/20	25	10 of 12	
Project				Subject				
CalPortland - Diablo Operation								
· · · · ·								
							References/Notes	
Rubber Tired Hydraulic Crane - 80 -on Capacity								
	90 Top (	Crana Cast						
	Crane Ren	tal (Monthly)	\$	11 250			(2) 01590 500 2700 pg 27	
	Ownership	Cost (Daily)	Ψ	11,200	\$ 51	1	(22 working days/month)	
							,	
	Crane Operati	ng Cost (Hourly)	\$	58			(2) 01590 500 2700 pg. 27	
	Operating	Cost(Daily)			\$ 46	4	(8-hour work day)	
	Change Labor	n Calat (Havenba)	¢	40			(0)	
	Crane Labor	r Cost (Houriy) rost (Daily)	\$	42	¢ 33	6	(2) crew B-95A, pg. 1100 (8-hour work day)	
	Labor C	Ost (Dally)			φ 55	<u> </u>	(o nour work duy)	
	Crane Tota	l Cost (Dailv)			\$ 1.31	1	(8-hour work day)	
	Crane Total	Cost (Hourly)			\$ 16	4		
	Rubber Tired Hyp	traulic Crane - 120-	Ton Cana	city				
	Rubber meanyc			icity				
	120-Ton	Crane Cost	_					
	Crane Ren	ital (Monthly)	\$	27,500			(2) 01590 500 2740 pg. 27	
	Ownership	o Cost (Daily)			\$ 1,25	0	(22 working days/month)	
	Crane Operati	ng Cost (Hourly)	\$	80			(2) 01590 500 2740 pg - 27	
	Operating	Cost(Dailv)	Ψ	03	\$ 71	2	(2) 01030 000 2740 pg. 27 (8-hour work dav)	
	3				•	_		
	Crane Labo	r Cost (Hourly)	\$	42			(2) crew B-95A, pg. 1100	
	Labor C	ost (Daily)			\$ 33	6	(8-hour work day)	
						_		
	Crane Tota	ll Cost (Daily)			\$ 2,29	8	(8-hour work day)	
	Crane Total	Cost (Houriy)			۶ 28	/		
(1) Caterpillar Perfo	ormance Handbook	, Edition 31					-	
(2) RS Means 2023	3							

Date Checked Checked By	Job Number	Ву	Date	Calc. No.	Sheet No.			
2/28/2025 EJM	205651	RAB	2/28/2025		11 of 12			
Project			ŝ	Subject				
CalPortland - Diablo O	peration	Rip Rap Erosion Control						
					References/Notes			
Material Cost. Haul		Kelerenees/totes						
	<b>3</b> , <b>1 1 1</b>							
Des	cription	Units	Total Cost					
18" Minimum th	ickness, not grouted		<b>^</b> 00					
Mater	al (sq. yd.)	1	\$ 23		(2) Line # 313713100200			
Labor	(per unit)	1	\$ 53 ¢ 42		(2) Line # 313713100200			
Equipme		1	φ +2		(2) Lille # 3137 13100200			
Estimated Cost per Squar	e Yard for Rip Rap Ma	terial and Install =	\$ 118.00					
p	• · • · • · • · · · • • · · • • • • • •		¢ 1.0.00					
(1) Caterpillar Performance Handbook.	Edition 31				<u>.</u>			
(2) RS Means 2023								

Date Checked	Checked By	Job Number	Ву	Date	Calc. No.	Sheet No.	
2/28/2025	EJM	205651	RAB	2/28/2025		12 of 12	
	Project				Subject		
CalPortland - Diablo Operation				Pla	nt Removal		
oun c		ciution		1 101	it itemoval		
						References/Notes	
	Removal of	Crushing/Screening	g plants or Wash P	lants			
	_						
	Desc	ription	Units	Total Cost			
	80 Ion C	Grane (Hr)	250	\$ 41,000			
	120 TON	Crane (Hr)	100	\$ 29,000			
			1,500	\$ 92,000 \$ 10,000			
	Light Transp	ortation (Trins)	400	\$ 19,000			
		ortation (Trips)	23	\$ 36,000			
	Tieavy Transp	onation (mps)	25	\$ 30,000			
	Estimated	Total Cost for Plan	t Removal =	\$ 249,000			
	Lotimatou			φ 210,000			
(1) Caterpillar Perf	(1) Caterpillar Performance Handbook, Edition 31						
(2) RS Means 2023	3						